

#40

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Jeffrey R. Filipek
name of person signing certification

 · 75
Signature

June 4, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of : Confirmation No. 9227
Mitsuaki OSHIMA et al. : Docket No. 2000_1130
Serial No. 09/662,695 : Group Art Unit 2634
Filed September 15, 2000 : Examiner A. Le
COMMUNICATION SYSTEM : THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED
TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE
FEES FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT
ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975

JUN 04 2000
Special Program Unit
600

**PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.183 TO ACCEPT SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION IN A
REISSUE APPLICATION**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 for waiver of 37 CFR 1.125(d) (which indicates that substitute specifications are not permitted in a reissue application). The PTO is authorized to charge the petition fee to deposit account no. 23-0975.

The present application is one of many pending reissue applications of U.S. Patent No. 5,600,672. Initially, in some of these applications, amendments to the specification were presented in the form of replacement paragraphs with bracketing and underlining to indicate the changes vis-a-vis the original patent. In several of the applications, the Examiner required a

PAGE 2/11 * RCVD AT 6/4/2004 4:42:59 PM (Eastern Daylight Time) * SVR:USPTO-EXRF-2/1 * DNIS:7465804 * CSID:2027218250 * DURATION (mm:ss):02:40

substitute specification because of the length of the specification and the number of amendments, and indicated by telephone that substitute specifications would be required in all of the related reissue applications.

For example, in the parent reissue application 09/244,037 the replacement paragraph amendments were filed on April 30, 2003. Then, in the Office Action mailed July 29, 2003, the Examiner required a substitute specification. Also, in the present application, which is also a reissue application of U.S. Patent 5,600,672 and a divisional application of reissue application 09/244,037, the replacement paragraph amendments filed on May 7, 2003 were denied entry and a substitute specification was required in the Office Action mailed May 27, 2003.


Moreover, in a telephone conversation with the Examiner in connection with reissue application 09/686,464, which is also a divisional application of reissue application 09/244,037, the Examiner required a substitute specification and indicated that substitute specifications would be required in all of the related reissue applications of U.S. patent 5,600,672 if the same specification amendments were to be entered.

Therefore, a substitute specification was prepared and filed in the present application on July 7, 2003, as well as in all of the related reissue applications of U.S. Patent 5,600,672.

In view of the above, it is requested that the PTO accept entry of the substitute specification filed July 7, 2003 in connection with the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Mitsuaki OSHIMA et al.

By: 

Jeffrey R. Filipek

Registration No. 41,471

Attorney for Patentees

JRF/jf
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
June 4, 2004